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ABSTRACT

A focused transducer will concentrate its signal energy at its focus, producing a clear image of objects at that depth. However, spatial resolution and signal strength deteriorate away from the transducer’s focus. Therefore, having only one focal length places a great restriction on ultrasound imaging, especially with a single-element transducer. To extend the region of focus, three techniques were examined. The first was the synthetic aperture focusing technique (SAFT), a well-studied method that allows focus at every distance instead of just one distance. Using this method, lateral resolution is restored and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is increased due to the compounding of several scan lines. SAFT was combined with a virtual source technique to further extend the region of focus. The second technique examined was coded excitation, a means of improving SNR while maintaining the axial resolution. The third technique was tissue harmonic imaging, which produces a narrower beamwidth and reduced sidelobes, and therefore can improve spatial resolution and contrast of images.

Each technique is individually known to improve ultrasound image qualities, with its own strengths and drawbacks. This study combines all three. In the final stage of this study using the virtual source technique, harmonic imaging was implemented using coded excitation beyond the transducer focus. Because of the low signal strength received under the synthetic aperture technique, harmonic imaging has never been attempted using SAFT and a virtual source. There has been no previous research to determine whether the techniques will build on each other or detract from each other.

This study included simulations and experiments with the techniques applied to a single scatterer, as well as experiments with a tissue-mimicking phantom. Implementing coded excitation with SAFT led to a final SNR higher than was observed when applying SAFT alone. Although coding helped to increase SNR, the sidelobes became much more visible. Additionally, it was found that synthetic aperture was not a linear process and could cause distortion when the transmitted pulse is very long. This problem was eliminated when time compression was applied before SAFT. When applying tissue harmonic imaging, the sidelobes were less prominent than before. However, the speckle increased and the SNR decreased, likely due to the decreased signal strength of the transducer at the harmonic frequencies.
This study has shown that synthetic aperture with a virtual source, coded excitation, and tissue harmonic imaging can be combined to image beyond the focal length of a transducer. However, there was no research on the maximum depth at which the technique can be practical. Additionally, this study did not test the effectiveness of the techniques when applied to real tissue.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation

Ultrasound can serve many purposes, but the best-known use is medical imaging. Ultrasound imaging is based on reflection and scattering that occurs when a wave meets a boundary between different media (e.g., two different tissues). By recording the time series echo and converting to a range through the sound speed, the locations of the boundaries can be imaged. Ultrasound images are constructed by combining scan lines of backscattered echoes separated by some lateral distance. The lateral separation is related to the beamwidth of the ultrasonic imaging device.

There are several important qualities desired in an image. Three characteristics that can be quantified are signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), contrast resolution (CR), and spatial resolution. SNR is an indication of the signal’s strength; a higher SNR makes it easier to see details that would be obscured by noise. CR describes how well the area of interest contrasts from background. With better contrast, it is easier to detect changes in intensity between tissues or irregularities. Spatial resolution is the ability to distinguish between nearby points of interest. With poor spatial resolution, two separate objects within the field of view may appear to be one larger object. A combination of these three qualities is necessary to be able to produce a good ultrasound image. However, these quantities may vary in different parts of the image. Overall image quality can be optimized by improving SNR, CR, or spatial resolution.

Lateral resolution and SNR deteriorate away from the transducer’s focal length. Therefore, imaging at distances too far away from the focal length will have poor lateral resolution and signal strength. Poor spatial resolution and SNR will also cause a decrease in CR. Therefore, having only one focal length places a great restriction on ultrasound imaging.

One way to expand the region of focus for imaging is to use synthetic aperture techniques with a virtual source, which is a well-studied technique used to restore lateral resolution and increase SNR when imaging beyond the transducer’s focal length [1], [2]. In effect, the focus of a transducer acts like a point source without a focus. The data can then be processed so that the focus is translated to every distance instead of just one distance.

The signals outside the focus are generally lower than signals within the depth of field. As a result, virtual source methods can suffer from low SNR and may not have sufficient SNR to
provide good images. One means of improving the SNR while maintaining the spatial resolution is to use coded excitation [3], [4]. Therefore, it is hypothesized in this study that coded excitation techniques can be combined with virtual source techniques to improve imaging using a virtual source.

In addition to using coded excitation and virtual source techniques, tissue harmonic imaging may also be combined with virtual source and coded excitation to further improve characteristics of the imaging system. Harmonic imaging is a technique that works with the harmonics of the pulse that are generated due to nonlinear properties of the medium. Harmonic imaging techniques have the advantages of higher frequencies but reduced attenuation on the forward propagation, better lateral resolution, and reduced sidelobes compared to conventional imaging techniques [5]. Therefore, harmonic imaging can improve spatial resolution and improve contrast of images. However, because of the low SNR from the virtual source technique, harmonic imaging has never been attempted using a virtual source.

1.2. Goals
All of the above techniques are known to improve ultrasound image qualities, with their own strengths and drawbacks. However, the techniques have not been combined. There has been no previous research to determine whether the techniques will build on each other (i.e., mitigate some of the negative tradeoffs associated with each technique) or detract from each other.

The goal of this study is to determine the effects of combining synthetic aperture, virtual source, coded excitation, and harmonic imaging techniques in ultrasound imaging. Each individual technique will be studied, as well as combinations of them. The study includes simulations and experiments of the techniques applied to a single scatterer and experiments with a tissue-mimicking phantom. The results from the different techniques will be compared both visually and quantitatively.

1.3. Organization
The following chapters will explain more details about this research. Chapter 2 provides background information on ultrasound imaging and the different techniques being studied. Chapter 3 describes the approach of the study and details the experimental setup, and Chapter 4
presents the results of the experimentation. Chapter 5 concludes the thesis with discussion of the results and suggestions for future work.
CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1. Ultrasound Imaging and Transducers

Ultrasonic waves are defined as acoustic pressure waves oscillating at frequencies above 20 kHz, the approximate upper limit of human hearing. The properties of an ultrasonic wave are dependent upon the medium in which it is traveling. The medium’s properties define its characteristic impedance. At the boundary between two tissues, there is an impedance mismatch. When a wave is incident upon such a boundary, part of the energy is transmitted and part of it is reflected and/or scattered. These reflections are exploited in ultrasound imaging. A transmitted wave will encounter different media and return reflection information.

If the sound speed can be assumed, the time between transmission and reception of the echo and the strength of the echo reveal the distance and level of mismatch of an object in view. However, waves are not transmitted without loss. Each tissue will cause a certain amount of attenuation to a pressure wave versus distance. The level of attenuation depends on the tissue and the frequency of the wave; higher frequencies generally suffer higher attenuation. Due to attenuation, the signal-to noise ratio (SNR) decreases away from the transducer. Additionally, a speckle pattern is caused by sub-resolution scatterers that are inherent to the tissue and can detract from the image when it is strong.

In ultrasound imaging, transducers are used to generate pressure waves. Currently, most transducers use piezoelectric material to convert electrical energy to mechanical energy, and vice versa. The piezoelectric material’s behavior depends on a number of factors, including the material’s properties and the pressure or voltage applied to it. It will have a resonant frequency of oscillation, which is reflected in its impulse response. Other frequencies will not be transmitted and received as strongly.

To focus the pressure wave, the transducer can be designed with different shapes, as with an optical lens. For a concave focused transducer, the transducer’s level of focus is quantified by its focal number:

\[ f^\theta = \frac{F}{D}, \]  

(2.1)
where $f^{*}$ is the focal number, $F$ is the focal length, and $D$ is the transducer’s diameter. A highly focused transducer will have a low focal number, while a less focused transducer will have a higher focal number.

A highly focused transducer creates more pressure at its focus than an unfocused or weakly focused transducer. Therefore, it has a higher SNR at the focus than a less focused transducer would have. Furthermore, because the pressure is more concentrated at the focus, there is a rapid decrease in the pressure amplitude away from the source. The beamwidth at the focus is smaller than an unfocused source, allowing better lateral resolution. However, with focusing the depth of field, or range of imaging, is also reduced, making it harder to image objects outside the depth of field, limiting the axial range at which imaging can occur.

When a pulse is emitted from a transducer, the pulse propagates away from the transducer and into the surrounding medium. However, because of the shape and size of the transducer aperture, the energy of the pulse will be confined to small defined regions called the beam pattern of the transducer. As the pulse propagates into the medium, within the beam of the transducer, the pulse is reflected or scattered back towards the source. The beam pattern allows a smaller region laterally to be interrogated with the source; hence, the lateral resolution is dependent on the beamwidth. The reflected or scattered pulses that return to the source sum together at the source in time and create a complex waveform in time. This signal is called a backscattered scan line. By detecting the envelope of the scan line signal and calculating the range from the assumed sound speed, an A-mode line is formed relating the strength of the echo signal to a range. The transducer can then be translated laterally to acquire another scan line from targets located within the beam.

When several parallel scans combine to form an image, it is called a B-mode scan. A B-mode image is produced by receiving and processing the parallel echoes. To produce each scan line, the transducer communicates the pressure received along its aperture for each time sample. Then, the scan line is envelope detected via a Hilbert transform. The envelope magnitude is converted to a dB scale. The scan lines are aligned with each other and plotted as an image, so that the intensity of the echoes correlates with the brightness of the image.
2.2. Synthetic Aperture Theory

When an object is scanned using B-mode imaging, lateral resolution is optimal at the transducer’s focal length. Regions outside of the focus return lower SNR and worse spatial resolution than at the focus. One way to put the whole field in focus is to apply synthetic aperture focusing technique (SAFT).

Suppose a point scatterer is located directly in front of an unfocused transducer that is emitting waves with a wide beamwidth, i.e. the aperture size is not large compared to a wavelength. If the transducer changes position, the scatterer will cause echoes as long as it is contained within the beamwidth. In Fig. 2.1, the point scatterer is directly in front of the transducer at position 3. However, it also will appear in the scan lines for positions 2 and 4. The scatterer’s apparent distance from each transducer position can be calculated by the Pythagorean theorem. When the B-mode image is viewed with each scan line next to each other, the scatterer will appear as a curved line instead of a single point.

The curve appears because the time delay from the scatterer to the transducer increases when the transducer is not directly facing the scatterer. Synthetic aperture techniques use this information at each location in the image to calculate the appropriate time delay to the transducer for each scan line. These time delays are used to match up the scattered waves from each transducer location and collapse them back into a point, bringing focus to the scatterer. The equation for the path length between a scatterer and a particular transducer location is

\[ z_a = \sqrt{\Delta x^2 + z^2}, \]

where \( z_a \) is the distance at which the scatterer appears in the scan line, and \( z \) and \( \Delta x \) are the axial and lateral distances between the transducer and the scatterer, respectively.

Figure 2.1. A piston transducer takes scan lines from positions 1 through 5. The pink lines represent the transducer’s beamwidth at the given positions.
It is assumed that the transducer elements are evenly spaced by distance \( d \). Because there are a discrete number of scan lines, the \( N \) lines can be numbered \( i = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \). Thus, if a scatterer is located directly in front of the transducer for location \( m \), the lateral distance, \( \Delta x \), between the scatterer and transducer location \( n \) is \( |m - n| d \). Equation (2.2) becomes:

\[
z_a = \sqrt{(m-n)^2 + z^2}.
\] (2.3)

To find this location, \( n \), the distance must be converted to a time delay. The time \( t_a \) it takes a sound wave to travel distance \( z_a \) and return to the transducer is \( \frac{2z_a}{c} \), where \( c \) is the speed of sound in the material being scanned. Similarly, distance \( z \) above can be recast as \( \frac{ct_1}{2} \), where \( t_1 \) is the time at which the scatterer appears in the scan line corresponding to the center transducer location. Therefore, the time at which that scatterer will appear in scan line \( n \) is

\[
t_a = \frac{2}{c} \sqrt{(m-n)^2 + \left(\frac{ct_1}{2}\right)^2}.
\] (2.4)

The received backscattered scan line at time \( t_a \), therefore, is a part of the scattered signal. The calculation can be made for each scan line in the image, and the corresponding pieces of each scan line are summed to generate a new value to represent scan line \( m \) at time \( t_1 \). In summary,

\[
S_{p,m}(t_1) = \sum_i \frac{2}{c} S_i \left( \sqrt{(m-i)^2 + \left(\frac{ct_1}{2}\right)^2} \right),
\] (2.5)

where \( S_{p,m}(t_1) \) is the value of the processed version of scan line \( m \) at time \( t_1 \) and \( S_i(t) \) is the original value of scan line \( i \) at time \( t \). This process is used for each pixel of the image, allowing focusing at each point in the scanned image.

Apodization, or weighting, reduces sidelobes in the final image at the expense of lateral resolution. Different windows can be used for apodization, which were discussed in [1]. This technique can be incorporated into the summation:

\[
S_{p,m}(t_1) = \sum_i \frac{2}{c} A_i S_i \left( \sqrt{(m-i)^2 + \left(\frac{ct_1}{2}\right)^2} \right),
\] (2.6)

where \( A_i \) is an apodization weight. Each window has a tradeoff between lateral resolution and sidelobe level. A cosine window was chosen for this study. The equation for an \( L \)-point cosine window is:
\[
\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{l \cdot (L-1)}{L-1}\right), \tag{2.7}
\]

where \( n \) is the index \( l \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, L-1\} \). For this application, \( L \) can be set to the number of scan lines being summed. An example of the improvement when applying apodization is shown in Fig. 2.2. Without apodization, the scatterer location was clearly visible, but the sidelobes spanned a large area. When apodization was applied, the scatterer appeared wider in the lateral direction, but the sidelobes were constrained to a smaller area.

The summation process is repeated for each axial position in the scan line, and for each scan line. Therefore, synthetic aperture can be applied at all distances within the scan, allowing focus at all distances instead of just one focal length. In this scenario, a wide beam spread is ideal because it allows more scan lines to be summed, increasing SNR and reducing sidelobes. However, the beamwidth of an unfocused transducer is primarily dependent on the size of the transducer, reducing the applications for synthetic aperture alone. By having a smaller aperture size, the beamwidth spreads out more but also at the expense of a reduced acoustic output power.

### 2.3. Virtual Source Theory

The virtual source technique is an extension of synthetic aperture. Instead of imaging areas close to the transducer, a virtual source helps image areas beyond the transducer’s focal length. This technique is based on the idea that the transducer will produce pressure waves that converge towards the focus, and diverge from there. It is assumed that within a certain beamwidth angle \( \phi \), the waves emerge from the focal point spherically, as if the waves are being produced from a spherical source at this location. Thus, the focus of the transducer becomes a virtual source, as shown in Fig. 2.3. Because the waves are spreading away from the virtual source, this model allows easy application of synthetic aperture techniques to areas beyond the virtual source. Also, by applying the SAFT technique to the points beyond the focus, the SNR of the resulting spatial compressed signals will be increased.

To account for the shift from calculating distance in reference to the virtual source instead of the transducer, the equation for path length becomes

\[
z_r = \sqrt{\Delta x^2 + (z - F)^2}, \tag{2.8}
\]
where \( z_r \) is the apparent distance relative to the focus. Therefore, the new apparent distance from the scatterer to the transducer is

\[
z_{vs} = F + \sqrt{\Delta x^2 + (z - F)^2},
\]

where \( z_{vs} \) is the apparent distance when using a virtual source. This new formula translates to a new summation:
Figure 2.3. A focused transducer takes scan lines from positions 1 through 5. The pink lines represent the transducer’s beamwidth beyond the virtual source at the given positions.

\[ S_{vs,m}(t_1) = \sum_i \frac{2}{c} A_i S_i \left( F \pm \sqrt{(m-i)d^2 + \left(\frac{ct_1}{2} - F\right)^2} \right), \]  

(2.10)

where \( S_{vs,m}(t_1) \) is the resulting value of scan line \( m \) at time \( t_1 \). Additionally, \( i \) must be restricted to the scan lines contained within the beamwidth. Using simple geometry, the half-angle \( \phi \) at which the wave spreads from the virtual source is approximately

\[ \phi = \frac{\phi}{2} = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{D}{2F} \right). \]  

(2.11)

Therefore, the beamwidth limit at distance \( z \) is

\[ z \tan \left( \frac{\phi}{2} \right) = \frac{z}{2f^*}. \]  

(2.12)

As a result, the scatterer should not appear in a scan line unless \( \Delta x < \frac{z}{2f^*} \). In terms of the time-series echoes, this relationship becomes \( \Delta x < \frac{ct_1}{4f^*} \), or

\[ |m-i|d < \frac{ct_1}{4f^*}. \]  

(2.13)

In this scenario, the wide beam desired in synthetic aperture will be best achieved by a highly focused transducer because the beamwidth at the focus is proportional to the wavelength times the f-number. A highly focused transducer will project a beam that sharply converges at the focus and diverges at a wide angle, so that a distant scatterer will appear in multiple scan
lines. Because the signals being summed are from outside the focal depth, the original received 
signals can have low SNR. By summing the contributions from several scan lines, the SNR of 
the subsequent focused image will be improved. However, low SNR continues to be an issue 
with virtual source imaging techniques.

2.4. Coded Excitation Theory
One way to overcome the limitations of the low SNR in the virtual source imaging technique is 
to use coded excitation and pulse compression. Coded excitation is a method to improve SNR 
by transmitting a signal with certain known and advantageous characteristics. The received 
signal can then be processed to improve the quality of the data for imaging. This effect is 
accomplished by applying an excitation signal \( f(t) \) of significantly longer duration than the 
impulse response of the source and whose autocorrelation \( R_f(t) \) is approximately a delta function. 
When it is applied to a transducer with a pulse-echo impulse response \( h(t) \), the received 
waveform is

\[
p(t) = f(t) * h(t). \tag{2.14}
\]

When the pressure wave is returned to the transducer, the received signal can then be 
decoded or compressed using \( f(t) \). The compression step takes the longer duration signal and 
compresses it so that the axial resolution is approximately that of the impulse response of the 
system. The easiest method of compression is accomplished by cross correlation of the received 
signal with the excitation signal:

\[
q(t) = f(t) \otimes p(t). \tag{2.15}
\]

Assuming \( f(t) \) and \( h(t) \) are real signals, this equation can be reduced to

\[
q(t) = h(t) * R_f(t). \tag{2.16}
\]

For \( R_f(t) = \delta(t) \), the equation reduces to

\[
q(t) = h(t). \tag{2.17}
\]

The prolonged excitation signal has multiple effects. The length causes \( p(t) \) itself to have 
poor axial resolution compared to the image resulting from an impulse excitation. However, it 
allows more energy to be applied, without increasing the pressure amplitude. With the step of 
compression, axial resolution is restored in \( q(t) \), and the increased energy allows an increase in 
SNR.
Two types of code exist that can be used in ultrasonic imaging: phase modulated codes (e.g. Barker or Golay codes) and frequency modulated codes (e.g. chirps). For this research, frequency modulated coding is used. Specifically, a linear chirp is used to excite the transducer, with a frequency range $\Delta f$ selected to coincide with the transducer’s impulse response. The equation $h(t)$ for the chirp is

$$h(t) = \cos \left( 2\pi \left( f_0 + \frac{\Delta f}{t_f} t \right) t \right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_f,$$

where $f_0$ is the initial frequency and $t_f$ is the time the chirp ends. Additionally, the chirp is weighted with a Tukey window to reduce sidelobes. The long excitation decreases axial resolution, but increases SNR and bandwidth. The received echo is then decoded, or compressed, to restore axial resolution.

Noting that the excitation signal is real, cross correlation of the received signal with the excitation signal is equivalent to filtering with a backwards chirp. Using properties of the Fourier transform, the frequency response of the filter is simply the complex conjugate of the chirp’s frequency spectrum. Therefore, the matched filter passes the same frequencies that were used in exciting the transducer. This filter significantly reduces any noise outside the chirp bandwidth, but also decreases the signal’s bandwidth due to the compounding of the Tukey windows in the sent and received pulses. Figure 2.4 shows a sample of excitation chirp, the resulting received chirp, and the compressed scan line after applying matched filter.

Another method of compression is through a Fourier filtering technique. Specifically, an optimal filter is the Wiener filter, which also uses the original chirp but can be adjusted to function like a matched filter, inverse filter, or something in between. An inverse filter allows more noise, but retains bandwidth. The Wiener filter can be adjusted to get the appropriate balance between reduced noise, minimal sidelobes, and bandwidth. The frequency-domain equation for a Wiener filter is

$$W(f) = \frac{F^*(f)}{|F(f)|^2 + \gamma E^{-1}(f)},$$

where $W(f)$ is the frequency response of the filter, $F(f)$ is the spectrum of the original chirp, $\gamma$ is the adjustment parameter, and $E(f)=|\text{SNR}(f)|^2$ or the SNR per frequency channel. Figure 2.4 displays the final scan line after applying a Wiener filter.
Figure 2.4. A coded excitation signal (top), the returned echo (2nd graph), and the envelope of the scan line compressed with a matched filter (3rd graph) and a Wiener filter (4th graph).

2.5. Tissue Harmonic Imaging Theory

Acoustic propagation is often approximated by linear equations of motion, but if an acoustic disturbance is of high enough amplitude, the medium and equations of motion are better described by nonlinear relationships between pressure and particle motion. Tissue harmonic imaging takes advantage of the nonlinear distortion of acoustic waves, using the harmonics produced by nonlinear distortion of the transmitted pulse to improve image quality.

While linear acoustics assumes a constant propagation speed, the speed of the wave actually depends on the wave’s pressure. The nonlinear wave equation can be stated as
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial t^2} = c_0^2 \left[ 1 - 2\beta_n \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right) \right] \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2}, \tag{2.20}
\]

where \( \beta_n = 1 + \frac{B}{2A} \) is the Beyer parameter, \( A = \rho_0 c_0^2 \), and \( B = \rho_0^2 P''(\rho_0) \). The nonlinear parameters are distinct properties of the propagating medium. Additionally, \( \rho_0 \) and \( c_0 \) are the density and speed of sound of the material, respectively, \( x \) is position, and \( \xi(x,t) \) is displacement. Based on this equation, the wave speed is

\[
c(p) = c_0 \left[ 1 - 2\beta_n \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right]^{1/2}, \tag{2.21}
\]

which can be approximated as

\[
c(p) = c_0 + \beta_n \frac{p}{\rho_0 c_0}. \tag{2.22}
\]

Because the pressure varies from its crests to valleys, the wave’s speed also varies. When the peaks travel faster than the troughs, the peaks start to approach the troughs and the wave becomes distorted. Shock waves occur if the peaks line up with the troughs. In medical applications of ultrasound imaging, distortion can be detected, but shock waves do not form at diagnostic levels. When a waveform becomes distorted, its frequency content is spread to harmonics. Higher frequencies suffer from more attenuation, but they allow better spatial resolution. However, the transfer of energy to the harmonics does not occur immediately but takes distance to transfer. Therefore, attenuation at the higher harmonics does not occur until distortion has occurred. As a result, there is less attenuation of higher harmonics than there would be if the transducer transmitted the harmonic frequency itself.

The received pulse can be modeled as a power series expansion of the transmitted pulse:

\[
p_r(r,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n p^n(r,t), \tag{2.23}
\]

where \( B_n \) are coefficients, \( p_r(r,t) \) is the received pulse, and \( p(r,t) \) is the pulse used for excitation. Tissue harmonic imaging exploits the signal at the harmonics for imaging, significantly reducing sidelobes that would occur at the fundamental frequency and improving contrast.

To isolate the harmonics for imaging purposes, several signal processing techniques can be adopted. For this study, pulse inversion was used to extract the second harmonic of the excitation pulse. The transducer was excited with two transmissions. The first transmission was
with a pulse and then the next transmission was excited with a negative copy of the original pulse. Thus, the first received pulse will be of the form:

\[ p_1(r,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n p^n(r,t), \]  

(2.24)

and the second received pulse will be of the form:

\[ p_2(r,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n p^n(r,t)(-1)^n. \]  

(2.25)

When the two received pulses are summed, the odd harmonics are canceled out, removing the fundamental frequency and revealing the even harmonics:

\[ p_1(r,t) + p_2(r,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} p^{2n}(r,t). \]  

(2.26)

However, one must consider the limited frequency range of transducers.

Each transducer will have a resonant frequency and a limited bandwidth and will not transmit or receive frequencies that deviate too significantly from this frequency bandwidth. As a result, higher harmonics most likely will not be strong enough to be detected when using the simple bandwidth of a transducer, reducing the set of even harmonics to only the second harmonic. Due to this restriction, it is important to select the proper transducers for sending and receiving the echoes. If one transducer is being used for both purposes, as in this study, it must have sufficient bandwidth to perform both tasks. Figure 2.5 shows the frequency response of the selected 3.5 MHz transducer, overlaid with the frequency responses of the transmitted signal and its second harmonic response.
Figure 2.5. The first and second harmonics of the transmitted pulse are both within the frequency range of the 3.5 MHz transducer.
3.1. Simulations

Simulations allow the prediction of outcomes without the cost in time and equipment and the variability of real data collection. The preliminary results help judge the effectiveness of a technique before performing a full experiment, and help ensure it is being implemented correctly. In this study, Matlab (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA) programs were developed to perform all of the techniques being studied, and Field II [6], [7] was used within Matlab to produce simulated data. Field II uses several transducer parameters to analytically calculate a response. The effects of SAFT and coded excitation were simulated before collecting data in a laboratory.

3.1.1. Synthetic aperture alone

The first step was to develop a Matlab program using Field II to simulate the imaging of a point target. The resulting image could then be used in the development of code for performing synthetic aperture. The first version of this program used a 250 MHz sampling rate and a flat piston transducer with 0.24 mm radius. For simplicity, the transducer impulse response was a delta impulse, the speed of sound was set to 1500 m/s, and there was no attenuation or noise. The point scatterer was located 30 mm away from the transducer, and 100 scan lines were simulated at 0.5 mm increments. A distance vector was calculated to correspond to the received scans. Figure 3.1 displays the resulting image after envelope detection and conversion to a dB scale. As explained in Chapter 2, the image looks like a reverse parabola because the distance between the transducer and point target varies with the transducer’s position.

The next step was developing the program to perform synthetic aperture. The algorithm is based on summing the portions of each scan line that fall along the curve defined by the delays in Eq. (2.4). This procedure is performed for every pixel in the final image. The shape of the curve used does not change between pixels with the same axial position. Therefore, one set of delays is determined for each axial position, and then shifted for each lateral position. To perform the sum in Eq. (2.5), the delays are calculated, which are then converted to indices of the distance vector. The algorithm produces a mask to only pass the selected indices. The mask may also be apodized with a tapering function, such as the cosine window defined in Eq. (2.7), to
reduce sidelobes. A sample mask used at one position is shown in Fig. 3.2. The mask is applied to the original image, multiplied element by element. The resulting values are then summed to produce a single value for the given pixel. The process is repeated as the mask slides across the image. A new mask is generated for each row to calculate a value for each location in the final image.

![Figure 3.1. Simulated image of a point scatterer.](image)

Field II was then used to simulate pulse-echo data received by a piston transducer with a 1 MHz impulse response of a two-cycle sine wave. The rest of the settings remained the same as from the first simulation. The same code was used to apply synthetic aperture to the new simulated image. The resulting image is displayed in Fig. 3.3. The longer impulse response formed a stronger signal with a larger axial width. However, in this situation, the axial resolution suffers more from the increased apparent distance than the impulse response length. The image was envelope-detected and displayed with a 50 dB dynamic range.
Figure 3.2. Sample mask used in synthetic aperture.

Figure 3.3. Simulated image assuming a two-cycle sine wave impulse response (top) and resulting focused image (bottom).
3.1.2. Synthetic aperture with a virtual source

After developing the synthetic aperture simulation, virtual source techniques were added to the simulations. There were two primary changes required to incorporate the virtual source into SAFT. The first was adjusting the synthetic aperture curve to account for the position of the virtual source. As shown in Eq. (2.9), the virtual source was located at the focal point, so the distance calculations were made relative to the focal point instead of the transducer, and then added to the focal length. These changes were incorporated into the same delay calculations that were used previously when performing synthetic aperture based on the actual transducer element.

The second change was imposing a beamwidth limit on the summation. When the focused transducer shifts laterally, the scatterer is not always contained in its beam pattern. Therefore, only the relevant scan lines are included in the summation. However, the beamwidth varies with the axial position, so the number of relevant scan lines also varies, as shown in Fig. 3.4. For each axial position, the beamwidth was calculated using Eq. (2.13), and the limit was then incorporated into the mask described in the previous section. The delays were only calculated for the scan lines within the beamwidth; the remaining scan lines were all zeroes.

![Figure 3.4](image.png)

Figure 3.4. The yellow point scatterer is only seen in the scans highlighted in yellow, while the black scatterer is seen from two more positions.

The virtual source technique was also tested using Field II, now simulating a highly focused transducer with radius 6.35 mm and focal length 19.1 mm for a focal number of 1.5. These dimensions were chosen to mimic the real transducer being used in experimentation. However, the simulated transducer’s impulse response initially was assumed to be a two-cycle sine wave at 15 MHz, instead of the transducer’s real 15 MHz impulse response. In order to apply virtual source techniques, the simulated point scatterer was positioned 10 mm beyond the
focus. The simulation was later performed using the transducer’s measured impulse response. The simulated image of the point target using the virtual source technique is shown in Fig. 3.5. The dynamic range of the figure was 50 dB. From the figure, it can be observed that although the inverted parabola shape appeared, the sidelobes were also very strong and spanned a large area.

![Simulated image of point target](image.png)

Figure 3.5. Simulated image of point target 10 mm beyond the transducer’s focal point.

### 3.1.3. Coded excitation

The next simulation added coded excitation to the virtual source imaging in order to provide increased SNR. When combining coded excitation with virtual source algorithms, the received echo must be decoded to compress the long chirp. This task can be accomplished with cross correlation or a Wiener filter. SAFT was also applied to restore the spatial (lateral) resolution.

The same 15 MHz transducer was simulated in Field II, using the measured impulse response of the transducer. The point scatterer was again positioned 10 mm beyond the transducer’s focal length. However, to implement coded excitation, the transducer was now excited with a 5 µs chirp instead of an impulse. The chirp was selected to linearly cover 125% of the real transducer’s −6 dB bandwidth, for a range of 10.3 to 22.9 MHz. The chirp was also tapered with a Tukey window of ratio 0.8. The simulated image is shown in Fig. 3.6.

The first method of range compression was performed by cross correlating each scan line with the excitation chirp. Due to the principles of correlation, the resulting scan lines were longer than the originals. The beginning of each scan line was removed to analyze only the area that was recorded.
The second method of compression used a Wiener filter, which was defined in Eq. (2.19). The filter was calculated for each image using the appropriate spectra. The excitation chirp was used as the ideal chirp. The SNR was calculated using the excitation chirp for signal strength at the point target. The estimate of noise in the system for the SNR calculation was found from the signal where no scatterer was located. Each scan line was then filtered with the Wiener filter.

The simulated images were compressed with both methods. However, it was not immediately obvious whether it mattered if SAFT was applied before or after this step. To test the importance of pulse compression before or after SAFT compression, the simulated image was processed four times, testing both order of SAFT versus pulse compression and using both cross correlation and the Wiener filter for pulse compression.

3.1.4. Harmonic imaging

Harmonic imaging was not simulated because Field II only performs linear simulations. Therefore, the nonlinear effects used by harmonic imaging techniques would not be present. However, the program to process the data from harmonic imaging needed to be developed.

A Tukey-tapered chirp was used for the excitation pulse. The method to conduct harmonic imaging was to use a single source, but to break the bandwidth of the source into a lower-frequency fundamental band and a second harmonic receive band. The frequencies were selected so that the fundamental occurred at the low end of the transducer’s impulse response, and the second harmonic occurred at the high end of the impulse response. A different transducer was utilized for the harmonic imaging, i.e. a 3.5 MHz transducer. For the 3.5 MHz transducer,
the bandwidth ranged from 1.8 to 2.8 MHz (the fundamental bandwidth), so that the second harmonic bandwidth would occur from 3.6 to 5.6 MHz. These ranges helped ensure the transducer would have the bandwidth to both send and receive the desired frequencies. The transducer was assumed to have a wide enough bandwidth to handle transmitting and receiving both the first and the second harmonics. Incorporating coded excitation, the excitation signal was assumed to be a chirp at a range of frequencies along the low end of the transducer’s bandwidth.

Pulse inversion was used to extract the second harmonic, so two chirps were simulated to be transmitted by the transducer. The first and second chirps were assumed to be 180° out of phase so that the sum of the two received signals would remove the fundamental frequency range. The second harmonic would occur at twice the frequencies of the original chirps and be enhanced using the pulse inversion technique. After the pulse inversion technique was invoked, a Wiener filter was chosen to perform time compression on the chirp’s second harmonic.

3.2. Experimental Setup

3.2.1. Synthetic aperture with a virtual source

After performing simulations, the next step was to validate the simulated predictions. For the initial experiments using virtual source and coded excitation, the transducer (Panametrics, Waltham, MA) used for this experiment had a 15 MHz characteristic frequency, with 19.1 mm focus and 6.35 mm radius, as simulated previously. The transducer was excited by a Panametrics 5900 pulser-receiver, which transmitted the data to a PC A/D card with a 250 MHz sampling rate. The transducer was submerged in degassed water and a 50 µm vertical wire was placed 10 mm beyond the transducer’s focus. The setup is shown in Fig. 3.7. The cable attached to the transducer was connected to the pulser/receiver (not shown), and the transducer was suspended from the Daedal positioning system. The wire was imaged in pulse-echo mode from positions 50 µm apart horizontally. The transducer movement was controlled by a Daedal positioning system (Daedal, Inc., Harrisburg, PA) through a PC running custom LabView (National Instruments, Austin, TX) software. The virtual source program in Matlab was adjusted to load the collected data instead of simulation data.
3.2.2. Coded excitation

The next step was to collect data using coded excitation techniques to improve the SNR of the virtual source technique. The transducer was positioned as described in Section 3.2.1. To perform frequency-coded excitation, the transducer was excited with the chirp used in simulations. This setup required the chirp to be programmed into an arbitrary waveform generator (Tabor Electronics W1281A, Tel Hanan, Israel), which transmitted the signal through a series of instruments before exciting the transducer. Figure 3.8 is a diagram of the equipment. A power amplifier (ENI, Rochester, NY), diplexer (Ritec, Warwick, RI), and the arbitrary waveform generator were used, in addition to the equipment used for standard pulse excitation. The same transducer receives the echo response, which is read by the pulser-receiver and transmitted to the computer.
3.2.3. Harmonic imaging

To perform harmonic imaging, the lab equipment was arranged as it was for coded excitation. However, a 3.5 MHz transducer with an approximately 60% fractional bandwidth replaced the 15 MHz transducer. This transducer had the same radius and focal length as the previous transducer; the only difference was the bandwidth. Therefore, it was necessary to change the chirp used for excitation. Due to the lower center frequency of the transducer, the chirp was extended to 15 µs. The chosen frequency range spanned 1.7 to 2.9 MHz, so that the second harmonic would occur from 3.4 to 5.8 MHz. Like the previously defined chirps, this chirp was tapered with a Tukey window.

As in the previous sections, a wire was placed 10 mm beyond the transducer’s focal point. The transducer moved laterally, but now the scan lines were taken 100 µm apart. Using the program developed in Section 3.1.4, the second harmonic of the received scan lines was

Figure 3.8. Summary of the arrangement of the equipment used to perform coded excitation.
extracted with pulse inversion. A Wiener filter was used to compress the chirp, and SAFT restored lateral resolution.

Finally, the same technique was applied to a phantom (ATS Laboratories Model 539, Bridgeport, CT) with 1450 m/s speed of sound and 0.5 dB/cm/MHz attenuation. The area selected for imaging contained cylindrical anechoic regions of diameters 1, 2, and 3 mm. The anechoic regions were positioned such that their centers were 1 cm apart, parallel with the edge of the phantom. A simple pulse/echo scan of the region is shown in Fig. 3.9. To apply harmonic imaging, the chirp lengths were reduced to 10 µs for this application because the imaged region was only 1 cm beyond the boundary between water and the phantom, which caused a large reflection. The transducer again moved laterally across the phantom, and scans were again taken at 100 µm increments. The resulting images were processed in the same manner as the images of the wire.

![Sample scan of the phantom with anechoic regions, near the transducer’s focal length.](image)

Figure 3.9. Sample scan of the phantom with anechoic regions, near the transducer’s focal length.
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1. Synthetic Aperture with a Virtual Source

4.1.1. Synthetic aperture simulations

The simulated images of point scatterers appeared with the expected curves and were then processed by the synthetic aperture program. The final simulation described in Section 3.1.1 assumed the transducer impulse response was a gated sinusoid at 1 MHz. The resulting images are shown in Fig. 4.1. All images are plotted with a dynamic range of 50 dB. The −6 dB beamwidth at the scatterer initially spanned the entire 50 mm lateral view, with a −6 dB axial spanned width of 9.7 mm. After applying synthetic aperture, the −6 dB beamwidth was reduced to 1 mm and the −6 dB axial width was 1.5 mm. These measurements were the same in both images, whether apodization was applied or not. However, the inclusion of apodization reduced the maximum sidelobe level from −15 dB to −25 dB. The improvements agree with what can be observed in the images (Fig. 4.1); the large curve that appeared to extend beyond the sides of the imaging area was reduced to a much smaller area, and the sidelobes were further reduced when apodization was applied.

![Simulated image of scatterer](image1.png)

![Image after applying synthetic aperture without apodization](image2.png)

![Image after applying synthetic aperture with apodization](image3.png)

Figure 4.1. Simulated image of scatterer (top), image after applying synthetic aperture without apodization (middle), and after applying synthetic aperture with apodization (bottom).
4.1.2. Virtual source simulation results

The virtual source simulation described in Section 3.1.2 employed a transducer with a 15 MHz sinusoidal response. The larger transducer and higher-frequency impulse response brought the near field closer to the transducer and caused the received signal to appear skewed. The −6 dB beamwidth at the scatterer was 2.8 mm, and was reduced to 1.2 mm after applying SAFT. The axial width also improved, from 0.5 mm to 0.3 mm. The two images are shown below in Fig. 4.2. The initial sidelobes negatively affected the result, causing stronger sidelobes than were observed in the simple SAFT simulation. The maximum sidelobe levels were measured to be −4.8 dB and −3.7 dB before and after applying SAFT, respectively.

![Initial Image](image1)

![Final Image](image2)

Figure 4.2. Simulated images before (top) and after (bottom) applying synthetic aperture with a virtual source.

4.1.3. Experimental results

The reflection produced a curve much more like an ideal curve than the previous simulation, except for the addition of noise. From the image, the −6 dB beamwidth was
estimated. Before applying SAFT, the −6 dB beamwidth was 4 mm. After applying SAFT, the beamwidth decreased to 0.2 mm. The axial resolution improved from 0.3 mm to 0.1 mm, while the maximum sidelobe level decreased from −0.5 dB to −13 dB. The two images are shown in Fig. 4.3. The final SNR was reduced from the simulations, but the beamwidth and sidelobes were much lower.

![Initial Image](image1)
![Final Image](image2)

Figure 4.3. Experimental images before (top) and after (bottom) applying synthetic aperture with a virtual source.

4.2. Coded Excitation

4.2.1. Simulation results

The −6 dB beamwidth of the simulated raw image was 0.2 mm, with an axial width of 1.6 mm. The final measurements of the processed images varied between the four arrangements of focusing techniques. The best combination of spatial resolution and low sidelobes resulted from applying a pulse compression before SAFT. When the Wiener filter was applied first, the
−6 dB beamwidth increased to 2.5 mm in the first step, but then reduced to 0.1 mm after applying SAFT. The maximum sidelobe level dropped from −8.9 dB to −14.2 dB after processing. These three images are shown in Fig. 4.4.

![Initial Image](image1)

![After Wiener Filter](image2)

![Final Image](image3)

Figure 4.4. Simulated images using coded excitation (top), after compression with a Wiener filter (middle), and finally after applying SAFT (bottom).

Images created by varying the order of SAFT and pulse compression are shown in Fig. 4.5, which were all generated from the initial image in Fig. 4.4. Table 4.1 summarizes the measurements from the four images of Fig. 4.5. Applying SAFT first led to noisier, more distorted images of the scatterer. These images had larger beamwidths and higher sidelobes than the images formed by applying pulse compression first. Although axial resolution in all four
images was comparable with the result of virtual source without coded excitation, lateral resolution and sidelobes were improved when SAFT was applied after pulse compression.

![Simulated coded excitation results](image)

Figure 4.5. Simulated coded excitation results a) applying SAFT before a matched filter, b) applying SAFT before a Wiener filter, c) applying a matched filter before SAFT, and d) applying a Wiener filter before SAFT.

Table 4.1. Simulated Results from All Permutations of Matched or Wiener Filters with SAFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Raw Image</th>
<th>SAFT then Matched Filter</th>
<th>SAFT then Wiener Filter</th>
<th>Matched Filter then SAFT</th>
<th>Wiener Filter then SAFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−6 dB Beamwidth (mm)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−6 dB Axial Width (mm)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Sidelobe Level (dB)</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>−9.9</td>
<td>−14.6</td>
<td>−14.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.2. Experimental results

The final images resulting from applying coded excitation with measured data had higher SNR than the images resulting from SAFT alone. However, the same variation in spatial resolution that appeared in the coded excitation simulations appeared in the measured results; applying SAFT first produced a more distorted scatterer image. The four images corresponding to four different orders/filter combinations are displayed in Fig. 4.6.

![Figure 4.6. Experimental coded excitation results](image)

When applying SAFT, the −6 dB beamwidths were 0.3 mm for both the matched and Wiener filters. The beamwidths were reduced to 0.1 mm when the pulse compression filters were applied before SAFT. The axial resolution estimates were similar for the four images, but the sidelobe levels were lower when pulse compression was applied before SAFT. The spatial resolution estimates are displayed in Table 4.2. A possible way to combat this discrepancy would
be to reverse the chirp, so that the distortion would occur primarily at the lower frequencies and be less distorting.

Table 4.2. Measured Results from All Permutations of Matched or Wiener Filters with SAFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Raw Image</th>
<th>SAFT then Matched Filter</th>
<th>SAFT then Wiener Filter</th>
<th>Matched Filter then SAFT</th>
<th>Wiener Filter then SAFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−6 dB Beamwidth (mm)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−6 dB Axial Width (mm)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Sidelobe Level (dB)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−11.7</td>
<td>−12.2</td>
<td>−19.8</td>
<td>−20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiment was repeated with a chirp of decreasing frequency, and the four sequences were applied to the measured signal. The quality metrics are given in Table 4.3, and the four images are shown in Fig. 4.7. The backwards chirp did not cause any significant improvements. With SAFT applied first, the maximum sidelobe levels dropped, but spatial resolution was increased, especially in the lateral direction. When SAFT was applied after the pulse compression filters, the spatial resolution was comparable to the previous result, but the sidelobe levels increased. Because the best final image resulted from applying a Wiener filter followed by SAFT, this arrangement was chosen to be used in the remaining studies.

Table 4.3. Results from All Permutations of Matched or Wiener Filters with SAFT When Applying a Chirp of Decreasing Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Raw Image</th>
<th>SAFT then Matched Filter</th>
<th>SAFT then Wiener Filter</th>
<th>Matched Filter then SAFT</th>
<th>Wiener Filter then SAFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−6 dB Beamwidth (mm)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−6 dB Axial Width (mm)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Sidelobe Level (dB)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−18.3</td>
<td>−18.4</td>
<td>−17.8</td>
<td>−17.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3. Tissue Harmonic Imaging

4.3.1 Experimental results

The final step was incorporating tissue harmonic imaging. As described in Chapter 3, pulse inversion was used to extract the second harmonic of the excitation chirp. Therefore, two chirps had to be transmitted for each scan line. One of the two received images is displayed in Fig. 4.8. The resulting second harmonic was then compressed with a Wiener filter. This result and the final image after SAFT are also shown in Fig. 4.8. The $-6$ dB beamwidth increased from 2.5 mm to 4 mm from applying the Wiener filter, but then was reduced to 0.4 mm after applying SAFT. The axial resolution decreased from 5.8 mm in the raw image to 0.6 mm in the final image. Additionally, the maximum sidelobe level dropped from $-4$ dB to $-22$ dB.

Figure 4.7. Measured coded excitation results when applying a chirp of decreasing frequency and a) applying SAFT before a matched filter, b) applying SAFT before a Wiener filter, c) applying a matched filter before SAFT, and d) applying a Wiener filter before SAFT.
Figure 4.8. One of two images received for harmonic imaging (left), the image after compressing the resulting second harmonic (center), and the final compressed image (right).

The fundamental signal was also pulse-compressed with the respective chirp for comparison. The resulting image is shown next to the harmonic imaging result in Fig. 4.9. The inclusion of harmonic imaging techniques reduced both the beamwidth of the scatterer and the sidelobes. The dimensions of these images, as well as the images after applying the other two techniques, are summarized in Table 4.4.

The final experiment applied tissue harmonic imaging to a tissue-mimicking phantom. The raw image, the image after compressing the chirp, and the final image are shown in Fig. 4.10. For comparison of the techniques, the images of the phantom after applying the three techniques are shown in Fig. 4.11.
Figure 4.9. Final image of wire using images at fundamental frequency (left) and second harmonic (right).

Table 4.4. Measured Results from Harmonic Imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAFT alone (15 MHz Transducer)</th>
<th>SAFT with Coded Excitation (15 MHz Transducer)</th>
<th>SAFT with CE and Harmonic Imaging (3.5 MHz Transducer)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–6 dB Beamwidth (mm)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–6 dB Axial Width (mm)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Sidelobe Level (dB)</td>
<td>–0.5</td>
<td>–13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.10. One of two phantom images received for harmonic imaging (top), the image after compressing the resulting second harmonic (middle), and the final compressed image (bottom).
Figure 4.11. Phantom after applying SAFT only (top), after applying SAFT and coded excitation (middle), and after applying SAFT with coded excitation and harmonic imaging (bottom).
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION

5.1. Discussion of Results

5.1.1. Synthetic aperture with a virtual source

Although the simulations provided realistic data, the noise, attenuation, and speckle that exist in real systems were not simulated. These effects appeared to be tolerated by SAFT. Although the SNR was lower than simulated, the clarity and sidelobes of the final image from measured data appeared better than the simulated result.

5.1.2. Coded excitation

The measured data led to a final result of higher SNR than was observed before integrating coded excitation. The coding helped to increase the SNR. However, the sidelobes became much more visible. Additionally, some of the arrangements of the techniques caused more distortion than the others.

The results in Chapter 4 indicated that spatial resolution was better when applying pulse compression before applying SAFT than when applying SAFT first. This effect suggests that synthetic aperture is not a linear process and can cause distortion. In this situation, a major contributor to the distortion was the length of the chirp.

SAFT focuses at each point by calculating a curve corresponding to the point, and the shape of that curve changes with axial distance. While the chirp length did not change with angle or distance, the calculated curves used by SAFT did change. As a result, the curve at the beginning of a chirp did not match the curve at the end of the chirp, causing distortion that was increased by a longer signal. The distorted chirp did not match with the Wiener filter, reducing its effectiveness. This problem was eliminated when the Wiener filter compressed the chirp before SAFT was applied.

5.1.3. Harmonic imaging

Although the measured −6 dB beamwidth using tissue harmonic imaging was larger than the measured beamwidth without harmonic imaging, it must be noted that the transducer’s resonant frequency was only 23% of the previous transducer’s resonant frequency. A lower frequency corresponds to a longer wavelength, which diminishes spatial resolution. Therefore, an
increase from 0.1 mm to 0.4 mm when switching from a 15 MHz transducer to a 3.5 MHz transducer actually is a slight improvement. The sidelobes were also less prominent when using SAFT and coded excitation at the fundamental frequency. However, the speckle increased and the SNR decreased, likely due to the decreased signal strength of the transducer at the frequencies above and below the resonant frequency.

5.2. Conclusions
This study verified previous work that synthetic aperture with a virtual source element can allow imaging beyond a transducer’s focal length. Additionally, frequency-coded excitation using a linear chirp can be incorporated into this setup. However, SAFT causes distortion in longer signals. Therefore, in processing the received image, it is most practical to apply a Wiener filter or another form of time compression first and then apply synthetic aperture focusing to the compressed scan lines.

Tissue harmonic imaging can also be applied using these techniques. This process successfully improved lateral resolution, reduced sidelobes, and increased SNR. However, speckle was more pronounced than when coded excitation and SAFT were used without harmonic imaging.

5.3. Suggestions for Future Work
This study has shown that synthetic aperture with a virtual source, coded excitation, and tissue harmonic imaging can be combined to image beyond the focal length of a transducer. However, not all aspects of the combined technique have been studied. One area that was not explored was the maximum depth at which the technique is practical.

A focusing technique is not useful unless it has an application. In order to be medically useful, a technique would need to work in real tissue. This study did not test the effectiveness of SAFT, coded excitation, or tissue harmonic imaging when they are applied to real tissue data. Only preliminary data were gathered using these techniques on a tissue-mimicking phantom. More study in this vein would be necessary to verify the effectiveness of the process.
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